UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,055	07/09/2003	Amarpreet S. Sawhney	3516.10US02	9525
62274 DARDI & ASS	7590 05/24/200' SOCIATES, PLLC	7	EXAMINER	
220 S. 6TH ST	•	WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
SUITE 2000, U MINNEAPOLI	J.S. BANK PLAZA IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			_	
			′ MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/616,055	SAWHNEY, AMARPREET S.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
,		Edward J. Webman	1616	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•			
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□	Claim(s) <u>1-69</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9,10,32,33 and 58</u> is/Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-31,34-37,59-69</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	are withdrawn from consideration	1.	
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
A440-b	Ma)			
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 1616

After consideration of applicant's request for a pre-appeal conference filed 4/1.1/07, a consensus was reached to reopen prosecution on new grounds of rejection.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8, 11-15, 20-28, 34-38, 41-50, 52-54, 59-60, 64-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hubbell et al (US 5,843,743).

Hubbell et al '743 teach gels comprising polymerized macromers used as supports for contact of biological materials with the body (title, abstract). PEG diacrylate is specified (column 5 line 66). Albumin is disclosed (column 6 line 49). Crosslinking is taught (column 5 line 12). Inorganic drugs are specified (column 8 line 21). Active peptides are disclosed (column 8 line 20). Cylinders and spheres are specified (column 13 line 56); microspheres are disclosed (column 9 line 61). Drying and reswelling are disclosed (column 26 lines 24-25).

As to the claimed properties of hydration and shape to occlude a space upon hydration, such must be inherently possessed by the obvious composition because it is the same as that claimed. As to the claimed creation of a lumen with a catheter and

Art Unit: 1616

subsequent filling of the lumen with the swelled gel, such is merely an intended use. Applicants argue that the claimed percent volumetric expansion is not disclosed and that there is no showing that the claimed properties necessarily flow from teachings. However, such properties necessarily flow because the gel as claimed is identical to that of Hubbell et al '743.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 11-31, 34-57, 59-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hubbell et al (US 5,843,743) in view of Cole et al (US 4,948,575)

The description of Hubbell et al '743 in the 102 rejection *supra* is incorporated herein; the reference does not teach bubbles of carbon dioxide.

Cole et al teach the addition of an acid and carbonate salt as two separate components to generate carbon dioxide and thereby form a gel foam (title, abstract, Column 3 lines 49-56, column 3 line 68-column 4 line 4, column 4 lines 32-40).

Prolonging the healing process of a wound is disclosed (column 2 lines 58-62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to add an acid and carbonate salt as to separate components to the composition Hubbell et al '743 to achieve the

Art Unit: 1616

beneficial effect of a foaming gel which prolongs the healing process of a wound. As to the claimed radio-opaque agent and dispersion of a hydrophobic agent, the addition of barium sulfate as a diagnostic agent would be an obvious expedient.

The inherency argument in the 102 rejection above is incorporated herein (See MPEP 2112 for inherency arguments in 103 rejections.)

Applicant also argues that one of ordinary skill would not consider Cole et al because the resultant hydrogel "could malfunction". However, applicant's choice of "could" in his argument indicates that even applicant recognizes that the teaching in Cole et al regarding swelling is merely cautionary.

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Richter, can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 1616

Page 5

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDWARD J. WESHAN PRIMARY ÉXAMIRER GROUP 1500